



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,370	06/25/2003	Gerd Kellner	16703	2803
23389	7590	05/24/2006	EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			HAYES, BRET C	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300				
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			3641	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,370	KELLNER, GERD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bret C. Hayes	3641	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - a. The embodiment shown in Fig. 1A;
 - b. The embodiment shown in Fig. 1B;
 - c. The embodiment shown in Fig. 1C;
 - d. The embodiment shown in Fig. 2;
 - e. The embodiment shown in Fig. 3A;
 - f. The embodiment shown in Fig. 3B;
 - g. The embodiment shown in Fig. 4;
 - h. The embodiment shown in Fig. 5;
 - i. The embodiment shown in Fig. 6;
 - j. The embodiment shown in Fig. 7;
 - k. The embodiment shown in Fig. 8;
 - l. The embodiment shown in Fig. 9;
 - m. The embodiment shown in Fig. 10;
 - n. The embodiment shown in Fig. 12;
 - o. The embodiment shown in Fig. 13;
 - p. The embodiment shown in Fig. 14;
 - q. The embodiment shown in Fig. 15;
 - r. The embodiment shown in Fig. 16;

- s. The embodiment shown in Fig.17;
- t. The embodiment shown in Fig. 18;
- u. The embodiment shown in Fig. 19;
- v. The embodiment shown in Fig. 20;
- w. The embodiment shown in Fig. 21;
- x. The embodiment shown in Fig. 22A;
- y. The embodiment shown in Fig. 22B;
- z. The embodiment shown in Fig. 23;
- aa. The embodiment shown in Fig. 24;
- bb. The embodiment shown in Fig.25;
- cc. The embodiment shown in Fig. 26;
- dd. The embodiment shown in Fig. 27
- ee. The embodiment shown in Fig. 28;
- ff. The embodiment shown in Fig. 29;
- gg. The embodiment shown in Fig. 30;
- hh. The embodiment shown in Fig. 31;
- ii. The embodiment shown in Fig. 32;
- jj. The embodiment shown in Fig. 33;
- kk. The embodiment shown in Fig. 34;
- ll. The embodiment shown in Fig. 35;
- mm. The embodiment shown in Fig.36;
- nn. The embodiment shown in Fig. 37; and,

oo. The embodiment shown in Fig. 38.

The species are independent or distinct because they are disclosed as alternative embodiments and have patentably distinct features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete **must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.**

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant's response filed 03 MAR 06 provisionally elected Species "b", the embodiment shown in Figure 1B, however, examiner failed to clearly state that Applicant must identify the claims readable on the elected species. Because the response appears to be a bona fide attempt, examiner will not hold the restriction final at this time, but rather, will allow Applicant to respond to this restriction requirement, which clearly states the requirements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret C. Hayes whose telephone number is (571) 272 6902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on (571) 272 6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bh

13-May-06

MICHAEL J. CARONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER